#### Occurrence and levels of VOCs in containers arriving in Sweden, including aspects on personal exposure, ventilation and sampling position

#### **Gunnar Johanson**

408943

764813 7

TARE WT

tex

Work Environment Toxicology Institute of Environmental Medicine Karolinska Institutet Stockholm

gunnar.Johanson@ki.se

#### **Urban Svedberg**

Dept. of Occupational and Environmental Medicine Sundsvall Hospital Sundsvall

urban.svedberg@lvn.se



The world's largest container vessel arrives to Gothenburg. More than 600 million TEUs are handled by ports annually in the world (photo: Port of Göteborg).

### Background

- More than 600 million container units are stuffed, shipped and stripped annually
- International rules cover use of fumigants (but poor compliance)
- Emissions from products not given much recognition, few studies
- Workers are mostly uninformed of potential risks
- Occupations at risk: inspectors, coast guards, customs, warehouse workers, seafarers (yet to be determined?)

#### Three types of chemical exposure:

- 1. Residual levels of highly toxic fumigants
- 2. "Natural" emissions from products and package materials
- 3. Emissions from broken goods

#### Two types of chemical risk:

- 1. Acute intoxication
- 2. Chronic effects
  - acute exposure
  - repeat exposure

# Sometimes difficult to sample via door seal

# New probe developed to facilitate sampling

<u>Container gas</u> <u>sampler video clip</u>

CONTAINER GAS SAMPLER

- Started sampling at bottom of door
- Repeat measurements sometimes
  gave dramatically different values



# CMAU 436438 L

CMAU

COR TEN STEEL

(CARD)

Johanson: Farliga kemiska ämnen i containrar

MAX. GROSS TARE

NET U. CAP. Sampling at the top of the door

3.860

640

KG

4364

1401, 12







VOC levels vary 10,000-fold

- Levels differ between sites
- 10-20% exceed 20 ppm

ppbRAE (ppm)



#### **FTIR** Fourier-Transform InfraRed spectroscopy



- Bomem MB 3000
- Resolution 1 cm<sup>-1</sup>
- 10-m gas cell
- Identification and quantification with library spectra from Infrared Analysis Inc.



IMM-Rapport nr 1/2011

Förekomst av gasformiga bekämpningsmedel och kemikalier i containrar: pilotstudie vid importkontrollen i Göteborgs hamn

Urban Svedberg Gunnar Johanson

Institutet för miljömedicin - IMM



11

AC shamizals datastad h			Can also be detected by					
46 chemicais o FTIR in 256 d	containers	ppbRAE		multiRAE	Hapsite	Airsense		
	<mark> Ca</mark>	arbonyl sulfic	de: -		GCIVIS	GDAZ		
Acetaldehyde	<mark>Ca</mark>	arcinogen, fun	nigant -	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Acetone	20	containers	<b>J</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Ammonia	0,1	1-15.1 ppm		No	Yes	Yes		
Butanone (MEK) 2-	Nc.	Sw OEL		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Butylacetate	000 ppm is le	thal	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Carbon dioxide			No	No	No	Yes		
Carbon monoxide			No	Yes	No	Yes		
Carbonyl sulfide	Chloroe	thanol		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Chloroetanol	Carcino	den		No	Yes	?		
Chloroform	Residue	in ethylene o	xide	No	Yes	?		
Cyclohexane	1 contair	ner		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Dichloro-1-fluoroethane 1,	6.3 ppm			Yes	Yes	Yes		
(HCFC-141b)	Sw 8h O	EL 1 ppm						
Dichloroethane 1,2-		1.2 Dichlor	oothana		Yes	?		
Dichlorometane		Carcinogen	Carcinogen		Yes	Yes		
Dimethoxymethane (formal)		Used to produce vinvl chloride			Yes	Yes?		
Dimethyl ether		2 containers		Yes	Yes			
Ethanol	<mark>10 – 30 ppn</mark>	10 – 30 ppm			Yes			
Ethyl acatata	Sw 8h OEL 1 ppm			Voc	Vac			

46 chemicals detected by FTIR in 256 containers Ethylene oxide			Can also be detected by					
		ppbRAE	multiRAE	Hapsite GCMS	Airsense GDA2			
		No	No	No	?			
Ethylene		Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Formaldehyde		No	Yes?	No	Yes			
Gasoline		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Heptane, n-		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Hexyl acetate		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Isobutane	Ethylene oxide:	Yes	Formalda	Yes				
Isobutane	L container	Yes	Carcinoge	arcinogen fumigant				
Isobutanol	1.7 ppm	Yes	9 containe	rs	Yes			
Isobutylene	Sw 8h OEL 1 ppm	Yes	0.2 – 2 pp	m	Yes			
Isopentane		Yes	Sw 8h OE	Can also be detected bymultiRAEHapsite GCMSNoNoNoNoYesNoYes?NoYes?Yes	Yes			
Isopropanol		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Kerosene / white s	erosene / white spirit Yes Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes			
Methane		Yes	Yes	No	Yes			
Methanol		Call also be detected toppbRAEmultiRAEHapsite GCMSNoNoNoYes	Yes					
Methyl formiate		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Methyl metacrylat	e	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Octamethylcyclote	etra-siloxane	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Dhaanhina		No	Vec	No	Vec			

	Can also be detected by					
46 chemi FTIR in	ppbRAE	multiRAE	Hapsite GCMS	Airsense GDA2		
Phosphine	Phosphine:	No	Yes	No	Yes	
Pinene, β-	Fumigant	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Pinene, α-	1 container	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Propylbenzene	3 ppm	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Styrene	Sw 8h OEL 0.3 ppm	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Tetrafluorethane (HFC-134a) 1,1,1,2-		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Toluene		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Trichloroethane 1,1,1-		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Trichloroethylene		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Xylenes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

#### Measurements by FTIR Sept 2013 (n=155) Most common chemicals

	c	Interval (ppm)	Median (ppm)	8h OEL (ppm)	ppbRAE	multiRAE	Hapsite GCMS	Airsense GDA2
Carbon monoxide	141	0,2 – 30	1,4	35	No	Yes	No	Yes
Methanol	138	0,2 – 25	2,9	200	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Carbon dioxide	123 (>400)	400 - 8350	540	5000	No	No	No	Yes
Ammoniak	23	0,1 – 6,1	0,2	20	No	No	Yes	Yes
a-Pinene	22	0,3 – 176	1,3	25	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Toluene	14	0,7 - 190	10	50	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Acetone	13	0,4 – 97	2,1	250	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ethanol	12	1,3 – 18	4,0	500	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Isopentane	10	5,5 – 63	12	600	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes



### **Comparison with OEL**

- 16 different chemicals found at levels above half the Swedish 8h OEL
- 10% of containers
- 5% had formaldehyde > 0.3 ppm
- 10 20% had VOC > 20 ppm
- Highest exceedance: 1,2-dichloroethanol 30 times the OEL



### **Shoe containers**



Toluene 30 ppm **1,2-Dichloroethane 9-11 ppm** Acetone 8.8 ppm Dimethoxymethane 5.2 ppm

203922



CU.CAP. 133,2 CU.M

Ann. Occup. Hyg. © The Author 2013. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Occupational Hygiene Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. doi:10.1093/annhyg/met033

### Work Inside Ocean Freight Containers—Personal Exposure to Off-Gassing Chemicals URBAN SVEDBERG<sup>1</sup>\* and GUNNAR JOHANSON<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Sundsvall Hospital, SE85186 Sundsvall, Sweden; <sup>2</sup>Work Environment Toxicology, Karolinska Institutet, IMM, S-17177 Stockholm, Sweden

Received 28 March 2013; in final form 17 May 2013; accepted 21 May 2013

More than 500 million ocean freight container units are shipped annually between countries and continents. Residual levels of fumigants, as well as naturally occurring off-gassing chemicals emanating from the goods, constitute safety risks, which may affect uniformed workers upon entering the container. The sim of this study was to assess workers' supercurve during stripping of containers and

#### Personal exposure during stripping

- VOC level unknown prior to stripping and mostly low
- Therefore, prepare container by injecting nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) as tracer gas. Equilibration for at least 24 h
- Pre-open measurement of "natural" VOC and added N<sub>2</sub>O at 0, 6 and 12 m
- <u>Work zone monitoring</u> (arm length's distance) of VOC and N<sub>2</sub>O during stripping FTIR continuous – VOC and N<sub>2</sub>O
- <u>Breathing zone monitoring</u> during stripping FTIR bag – VOC and N<sub>2</sub>O PID continuous and bag – VOC Adsorbent tube (N<sub>2</sub>O handheld detector)











### **Correlation between VOCs and tracer gas during stripping (work zone)**



# Personal exposure during stripping (40-ft, natural ventilation)



U Svedberg, G Johanson. Ann Occup Hyg (2013)

# Personal exposure during stripping, % of pre-open level (40-ft, natural ventilation)

		Container							
	А	В	С	D	Е	F			
Breath zone									
VOC	Adsorbent tube	4.7	6.7	5.4	-	-	-		
VOC	FTIR bag sample	2.1	1.4	2.8	-	-	-		
N <sub>2</sub> O	FTIR bag sample	2.2	1.3	3.4	1.2	1.6	2.3		
VOC	PID bag sample	-	1.1	2.1	-	-	-		
VOC	PID continuous	1.7	2.7	3.1	-	-	-		
Work zone									
VOC	FTIR continuous	0.7	1.2	-	-	-	-		
N <sub>2</sub> O	FTIR continuous	1.4	0.7	-	1.3	1.7	1.5		

U Svedberg, G Johanson. Ann Occup Hyg (2013)

Workers' average exposure 1-7 % of pre-open level

## Ventilation – preliminary data

#### Natural ventilation

#### **Blowing ventilation**



#### **Extraction ventilation**

# Tracer gas decay 12 m from open doors



Extraction ventilation much

more efficient







## Conclusions

- Residual harmful levels of fumigants can be found in unmarked containers (but not common)
- 2. Highly variable content, no handheld instrument covers all chemicals
- 3. Volatiles around or above the OELs were found in 5 20% of the containers
- 4. Workers' exposures were 1-7 % of arrival (pre-open) concentrations, initial peaks up to 70% were seen.
- Repeated and prolonged exposure may constitute health risk to those working inside containers



### Conclusions

- 6. Tracer gas method useful for experimental studies of exposure
- Measure before opening container (middle or top not bottom). If not, always ventilate, preferably with forced extraction ventilation
- 8. Extraction ventilation of container seems superior to natural or blowing ventilation (preliminary data)
- Initiate petition from transportation industry and scientific communities for the redesign of containers to facilitate sampling and ventilation
  WHO: Evidence-based informed policy making.
  EOM: ...supports translation of scientific findings to regulations and rules...



Institute of Environmental Medicine Institutet för Miljömedicin





LÄNSSJUKHUSET SUNDSVALL-HÄRNÖSAND

## Thank you!

#### Acknowledgements

- AFA Insurance
- Västernorrland County Council
- Staff and workers at study sites
- Eurofins Pegasus